

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendants.


Case No. 3:25-cv-00004

~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER

ORDER

For good cause shown, and in consideration of the Parties' Joint Motion to Dismiss and Retain Jurisdiction, the Court hereby GRANTS the Joint Motion and conditionally dismisses the above-titled action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2). The Court specifically retains jurisdiction to enforce the Agreement (filed with the Court as Attachment A to the Joint Motion to Dismiss and Retain Jurisdiction), in accordance with its terms, which are incorporated herein by reference, and for its duration. *See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am.*, 511 U.S. 375, 381 (1994) (recognizing that a federal district court may retain jurisdiction to enforce a "dismissal-producing settlement agreement" in its order); *Alvarado v. Table Mountain Rancheria*, 509 F.3d 1008, 1017 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[A] federal court has jurisdiction to enforce a settlement agreement in a dismissed case when the dismissal order incorporates the settlement terms, or the court has retained jurisdiction over the settlement contract.").

SO ORDERED this 5th day of February, 2025.

  
United States District Judge